



Kevin D. Hogg
Of Counsel

Tel 312.474.6604 khogg@marshallip.com

Kevin D. Hogg focuses his practice on litigation and counseling concerning patents, trademarks, unfair competition and trade secrets, and works with a wide variety of products and technologies. During his 25 year career, he has appeared in federal courts across the United States in cases ranging from the relatively modest to complex multidistrict actions with claimed damages in excess of \$100 million. He regularly advises highly respected innovators, such as The Procter & Gamble Company, on intellectual property matters.

In addition to his Martindale-Hubbell<sup>®</sup> AV Peer Review Rating™, he has been selected by the *Chicago Law Bulletin's* Leading Lawyer Network as a "Leading Lawyer" and since 2013, has been recognized as an "IP Star" in *Managing IP's IP Star Survey* (fka the *World IP Handbook and Survey*). Mr. Hogg has been selected as one of the "World's Leading Patent Practitioners" from 2013–2016 by *Intellectual Asset Management (IAM)* magazine.

### **Practices**

- IP Litigation
- Post-Grant Patent Proceedings

### **Industries**

- Automotive & Transportation
- Consumer Products
- Medical Devices

## **Representative Experience**

Mr. Hogg has litigated cases involving a wide range of products and technologies, ranging from consumer products including foods and simple mechanical devices to commercial products including complicated electronics, communications networks, and other industrial equipment.

In a complex multidistrict litigation, successfully defended one of the nation's largest cable television
companies against nine patents allegedly covering high speed data and high definition television transmission.
The patent holder eventually capitulated on eight of the nine patents and lost the ninth on summary judgment
of non-infringement.



- Gained a victory for multiple cable television companies against four patents asserted against transmission systems for video on demand and other compressed video applications, as the court held all four patents invalid.
- Won a very favorable settlement for one of the world's largest multinational companies in a patent and
  inventorship dispute involving wireless electronic devices. The court upheld the validity of the company's
  patents and rejected the competitor's claims of inventorship.

## **Background and Credentials**

In his 25 years of litigating patent and trademark cases across the United States, Mr. Hogg has taken a number of those cases completely through trial and appeal, on both liability and damages. He has practiced before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in reissue, reexamination and interference proceedings, many involving proceedings in parallel to related litigation. This combined experience allows him to advise his clients on the most effective of these avenues for achieving client-desired results. In addition to his litigation work, he previously served as Chair of the Firm's IP Litigation Group.

Mr. Hogg graduated *magna cum laude* from the University of Cincinnati College of Engineering and obtained his law degree, with honors, from the University of Cincinnati College of Law.

#### **Education**

- University of Cincinnati College of Law (J.D., with honors)
- University of Cincinnati (B.S., magna cum laude)
  - o Mechanical Engineering

### **Bar Admissions**

- Illinois
- Ohio
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit
- U.S. District Court, District of Colorado
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

# **Representative Matters**

Acacia v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Acacia v. Cable America, NGP Cable, et al\*
The United States District Court for the District of Arizona
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement



Acacia v. Mid-Continent Media, et al\*

The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Acacia v. Armstrong Group, et al\*

The United States District Court for the District of North Dakota

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Broadcast Innovation v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Colorado

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Code Alarm\* v. Directed Electronics

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement Damages

Dorel Juvenile Group v. Graco Children's Products\*

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

GE-Interlogix\* v. Honeywell

The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement, Contracts

Global Patent Holdings v. CDW\*

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Hybrid Patents v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Johnson & Johnson v. The Procter and Gamble Co.\*

The United States District Court for the District of Colorado

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Kellenberger (Kimberly-Clark) v. Duenk (Procter & Gamble)\*

The United States Patent Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Case Type(s): Patent Interference

Lear v. Faurecia\*

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Mattingly (Johnson & Johnson) v. Van Tilburg (Procter & Gamble)\*

The United States Patent Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Case Type(s): Patent Interference

Morphosys v. Cambridge Antibody Technology\*

The United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement



Neutrik AG v. Switchcraft\*

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Case Type(s): Unfair Competition, Trademark Infringement, Trade Dress, Patent Infringement

Oscar Mayer v. Sara Lee\*

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

Case Type(s): Trademark Infringement, Trade Dress, Patent Infringement

Rembrandt Technologies v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware and the Eastern District of Texas

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

The Procter & Gamble Company\* v. Georgia-Pacific

The United States District Court for the District of South Carolina

Case Type(s): Trade Secrets

The Procter & Gamble Company\* v. Kathryn Mowris

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

Case Type(s): Trade Secrets

The Procter & Gamble Company\* v. Kimberly-Clark

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

The Procter & Gamble Company\* v. Kimberly Clark

The United States District Court for the District of South Carolina

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

THK America v. NSK Corp.\*

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

White Welding v. Keystone Industries\*

The United States District Court for the District of Kansas

Case Type(s): Unfair Competition, Patent Infringement

Charter Communications Operating, LLC\*, et al. v. Verizon Communications

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Wi-Lan v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Ceres Communications Tech., LLC I v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Ceres Communications Tech., LLC II v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Case Type(s): Patent Infringement



Pragmatus VOD LLC v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

C-Cation Technologies, LLC v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

InterAD Technologies, LLC v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

GTZM Technology Ventures LTD v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Bear Creek Technologies v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

GlobeTecTrust LLC v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

AIP Acquisition LLC v. Charter Communications\*

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Charter Communications\*
The United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Case Type(s): Patent Infringement

The Procter & Gamble Company\* v.Vi-Jon

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio

Case Type(s): Unfair Competition, Trademark Infringement, Trade Dress, Patent Infringement

\*Party Represented