



Sandip H. Patel

Tel 312.474.9562 spatel@marshallip.com

Sandip H. Patel has successfully counseled clients in all aspects of patent law. He has helped clients obtain and enforce patents, defend against allegations of patent infringement, and design products and processes to avoid their competitors' patents. Those clients are diverse in size and focus, and have included independent inventors, venture capital investors, specialty chemical companies, universities, and multinational corporations. He has a formal education in chemical engineering, and his day-to-day work deals typically with inventions focusing on chemistry and chemical engineering. But, Mr. Patel's work for the Firm's clients has spanned the entire spectrum of engineering and sciences. And through that experience, he has proven adept at quickly understanding diverse technologies and devising efficient intellectual property strategies that clients have used to achieve their business goals.

Mr. Patel was selected for inclusion in the 2013-2016 Illinois Super Lawyers® lists. Only five percent of the lawyers in the state were selected for this honor. He was also selected by his peers for inclusion in the 2016 edition of The Best Lawyers in America® in the practice area of Patent Law. Additionally, Mr. Patel has been named an "IP Star" in Managing IPs 2016 IP Stars Survey (fka the World IP Handbook and Survey).

#### **Practices**

- IP Litigation
- Patent Prosecution
- Post-Grant Patent Proceedings

## **Industries**

- Biotechnology & Life Sciences
- **Chemical Sciences**
- Cleantech & Renewables
- Consumer Products
- Pharmaceutical

## Representative Experience

Successfully served as lead counsel in recent patent interferences in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and currently serves as lead counsel in other inter partes proceedings there. Over the years, he has counseled clients in dozens of inter partes matters in the Patent Office in a variety of sciences including biotechnology



(e.g., avirulent vaccines, DNA sequencing methods, genetically-engineered plants, and kerotinocyte growth factors), chemistry/chemical engineering (e.g., pharmaceutical compounds and compositions, food processing chemicals, and chemical reactor design), mechanical engineering (e.g., railcar shock absorbers, correction-tape dispensers, and infant diapers), and electrical engineering (e.g., piezoelectric ink-jet printers, process control systems and software).

- Prepared and prosecuted to issuance patent applications relating to consumer products, semiconductors, catalytic reaction engineering processes, industrial chemical compositions, pharmaceutical compositions, and methods of treating various disease states in humans.
- Counseled clients in patent infringement actions in the federal courts involving biotechnology patents (e.g., recombinant growth hormone, recombinant erythropoietin), pharmaceutical chemistry patents (e.g., platinum coordination compounds and methods of treating cancerous tumors), and chemical engineering operations (e.g., air pollution control processes and equipment).

## **Background and Credentials**

Sandip H. Patel has been a partner of the Firm since 2002, having joined as an associate following his graduation from law school in 1996. While at the Firm, he has drafted and prosecuted hundreds of patent applications, and has served as counsel in numerous contested proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and in associated appeals, and as counsel in a number of patent cases in the federal courts. Mr. Patel also served as chairperson of the Firm's attorney recruiting committee for ten years beginning in 2002.

Mr. Patel received his J.D. from Indiana University Maurer School of Law, in Bloomington, Indiana, in 1996. During law school he served as a Notes and Comments Editor of the *Indiana Law Journal*. He received a B.S. degree (with honors) in Chemical Engineering from Michigan State University in 1993.

#### **Education**

- Indiana University Maurer School of Law (Bloomington) (J.D.)
- Michigan State University (B.S.)
  - Chemical Engineering

### **Bar Admissions**

- Illinois
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

#### **Publications and Presentations**

April 28, 2016

"High Court May Be Next Stop For PTAB Deference Issue" (featured quotes)

Law360



May 27, 2015

"Supreme Court Holds that an Accused Infringer's Belief Regarding Patent Validity is Not a Defense to Induced Infringement"

Client Alert

October 13, 2014

"Preparing Patents to Withstand AIA Trials and Defending a Patent in AIA Trials"

2014 IP Defense Summit, Chicago, IL

October 2014

"The amendment conundrum of inter partes review"

InsideCounsel

March 18, 2014

"The never-ending debate over appellate review of patent claim construction: The debate dividing the Federal Circuit is not likely over, although it should be"

InsideCounsel

February 18, 2014

"Supreme Court to redefine patent law's definiteness standard: The Supreme Court is poised, yet again, to decide an issue in Nautilus v. Biosig hardly requiring its review and one that Congress did not even consider in its recent wholesale revisions to the patent laws"

InsideCounsel

January 21, 2014

"IP: The murky morass plaguing the patent system: A look back to how we've gotten to this point with section 101 of the 1952 Patent Act"

InsideCounsel

October 30, 2013

"U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Issues Final Rules to Implement Provisions of the Patent Law Treaty that Change Aspects of U.S. Patent Laws"

Client Alert

April 2013

Idenix Pharmaceuticals (featured quotes)

BioPharm Insight

November 27, 2012

"Kappos a Tough Act to Follow as USPTO Director" (featured quotes)

Law360

September 18, 2012

"Patent office gets face-lift over the weekend with new rules, prices" (featured quotes)

Chicago Daily Law Bulletin

September 2012

"The America Invents Act -- What Patent Administrators Need to Know"

Keynote speaker, Association of Legal Administrators IP Retreat



December 11, 2009

"The Limited Benefits of Product-By-Process Claims"

Intellectual Property Today

November 2009

"Resetting Judicial Doctrine of Inequitable Conduct"

Author and Moderator, ABA Roundtable Discussion

June 2005

"Patents and Tying: Why the Supreme Court Is Getting Involved"

Law360

April 2005

"Industries Await Exemption Verdict"

Managing IP, No. 14

1996

"Graduate Students' Ownership and Attribution Rights in Intellectual Property" 71 Ind. L.J. 481

## **Representative Inter Partes Matters**

Adams (Monsanto)\* v. Leemans (Bayer CropSciences)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Patent Interference

Biotechnology

Amgen Inc.\* v. F. Hoffmann-LaRoche LTD et al.

U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts

Biotechnology

Amgen Inc.\* v. Hoechst Marion Roussel

U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts

Biotechnology

Amgen Inc.\* v. United States of America Dept. of Health & Human Services

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Patent Interference

Biotechnology

Barton v. Fischhoff\* (Monsanto) v. Adang (Mycogen Plant Science)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Patent Interference

Biotechnology

Braun (Eastman Kodak Company) v. Temple et al. (Xaar Technology Limited)\*

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Patent Interference

Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering/Computer Software



Chee (Affymetrix) v. Drmanac (Hyseq)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference

Biotechnology

Chen (Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.) v. Hester (Pharmacia & Upjohn Co.)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Coca Cola Inc. v. The Procter & Gamble Company\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Inter partes Reexamination
Chemistry

Correa et al. (Johnson & Johnson) v. Roberts et al. (Procter & Gamble Company)\*
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
Patent Interference Appeal
Mechanical Engineering

Crater (Control Technologies Corporation) v. Nixon (Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc.)\* U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Patent Interference
Electrical Engineering/Computer Software

Gardner (Northern Innovations and Formulations Corp.) v. Hastings (Reliv International Inc.)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Chemistry

Hester (Pharmacia & Upjohn Co.)\* v. Bouchard (Aventis Pharma S.A.)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Hester (Pharmacia & Upjohn Co.)\* v. Chen (Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Lai v. Palmer (Newell Rubbermaid)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference

Mechanical Engineering

Li (Human Genome Sciences) v. Godiska (ICOS Corp.)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Pharmaceutical Chemistry



Macove v. Luxton (The Gillette Company)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Patent Trial and Appeal Board
Patent Interference
Mechanical Engineering

Matlin v. Aries (ACCO Brands Corporation)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Patent Trial and Appeal Board
Patent Interference
Mechanical Engineering

Martuza et al. (Massachusetts General Hospital) v. Roizman et al. (ARCH Development Corporation)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Biotechnology

McDonald (University of Tennessee) v. Miyazaki (Kirin Brewery Company Ltd.)\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Monaco (Keystone Industries)\* v. Kalina (FM Industries)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Mechanical Engineering

Novo Nordisk v. Pharmacia & Upjohn Co.\*
U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey
Patent Infringement
Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Biotechnology

Opposition and Appeal re: Baxter International Inc.\* & Baxter Healthcare S.A.\*
Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office
Patent Opposition and Appeal
Chemical Engineering

Opposition and Appeal re: Lawrence Industries Inc.\*
Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office
Patent Opposition and Appeal
Chemistry/Chemical Engineering

Research Corp. Techs. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs\* et al. U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey
Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Stevens (Newell Rubbermaid Inc.)\* v. Tamai (Seed Rubber Company)
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
Patent Interference Appeal
Mechanical Engineering



Universite Laval v. Regents of the University of Michigan\*
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Biotechnology

Vegenics Pty Limited\* v. Universita Degli Studi di Siena
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Patent Interference
Biotechnology

\*Party Represented

# **Community and Professional Involvement**

- American Intellectual Property Law Association
- Federal Circuit Bar Association
- Intellectual Property Owners Association